2:17-cv-00194-kjd Document 139-4 Filed 01/29/20 Page 1 of 110

# **EXHIBIT B**

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT Case No. 5:17-cv-194

MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER, M.D.,

Plaintiff

vs.

DARTMOUTH-HITCHCOCK MEDICAL CENTER, DARTMOUTH-HITCHCOCK CLINIC, MARY HITCHCOCK MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, and DARTMOUTH-HITCHCOCK HEALTH,

Defendants.

### VOLUME II

DEPOSITION OF MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER, M.D., taken on behalf of the Defendant at Lebanon, New Hampshire, on July 18, 2019, at 10:00 a.m., before Cynthia Foster, RPR, LCR No. 14, a Licensed Court Reporter within and for the. State of New Hampshire.

#### APPEARANCES:

Geoffrey Judd Vitt, Esquire Vitt & Associates, PLC 8 Beaver Meadow Road P.O. Box 1229 Norwich, Vermont, 05055, on behalf of the Plaintiff, Misty Blanchette Porter, M.D.

Katherine Burghardt Kramer, Esquire
KBK Law
6 Mill Street
P.O. Box 23
Middlebury, Vermont, 05753, on behalf of the
Plaintiff, Misty Blanchette Porter, M.D.

Donald W. Schroeder, Esquire
Foley & Lardner, LLP
111 Huntington Avenue, Suite 2500
Boston, Massachusetts, 02199-7610, on behalf of
the Defendants, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical
Center, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Clinic, Mary
Hitchcock Memorial Hospital, and
Dartmouth-Hitchcock Health.

# 2:17-cv-00194-kjd Document 139-4 Filed 01/29/20 Page 4 of 110

Misty Blanchette Porter, MD - July 18, 2019

3

_	- TA	т.	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	\ \ \ \
	יו	NI.	l 1	ı H	×

Direct Examination by Mr. Schroeder

5

## **EXHIBITS**

14 Plaintiff's Response to Defendant Mary
Hitchcock Memorial Hospital's First Set
of Interrogatories Propounded on Plaintiff
Misty Blanchette Porter

99

# STIPULATION

It is agreed by and between the attorneys of record for the respective parties hereto as follows:

- 1. That the testimony of the deponent may be taken and treated as if taken pursuant to notice and order to take depositions and that all formalities of notice and order are waived by the parties, and the signatures to the stipulation are in like manner waived;
- 2. That all objections except as to matters of form are reserved until the deposition or any part thereof is offered in evidence;
- 3. That exhibits may be retained by counsel until time of trial.
- 4. That the deposition may be signed by the deponent before any notary public.

1		MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER, M.D., DULY SWORN
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
3	BY MR	. SCHROEDER:
4	Q	Good morning, Dr. Porter.
5	А	Good morning.
6	Q	So as you know I represent the Defendants in
7		this case, and we're continuing your deposition
8		today regarding the allegations that you have
9		put forth in your Amended Complaint in this
10		litigation.
11		Before we started, your counsel stated that
12		you wanted to perhaps clarify or expand upon
13		something related to your calendar so I'm going
14		to give you that opportunity right now to do
15		that.
16	А	When you were asking me to go through my
17		calendar
18	Q	Yes.
19	А	I think I skipped over the times that I was
20		teaching especially at national meetings and so
21		that's part of our mission and goal and so
22		you're asking specific hours.
23		I also was working on a project with

1		Heather Gunnell to facilitate ultrasound being
2		done within the clinic with what was a research
3		machine and so I had some hours that were
4		related to that project.
5	Q	Okay. Why don't we do this. Because I think
6		it's Exhibit 8, and this is I believe the
7		calendar that you produced in this case, and
8		probably helpful to you to point out, why don't
9		we do, does it make sense so there's two things
10		that you identified, national teaching; is that
11		correct?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	What did that involve?
14	А	I was a moderator and a lecturer at a national
15		meeting for the American Institute of Ultrasound
16		in Medicine for a few sessions. So I was in
17		that conference.
18	Q	When was that?
19	А	Let me look it up.
20	Q	Sure. Take your time.
21	А	March 2016 I traveled to the meeting at, on the
22		16th of March.
23	Q	Okay.

1	A	I also had some responsibilities for the GYN
	A .	
2		Community of Practice in that period of time
3		and
4	Q	Was that related to this?
5	A	Was, yes, it's American Institute of Ultrasound
6		in Medicine, and I was there until the 21st of
7		March.
8	Q	Was that a conference?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And was it in any way connected to any
11		certifications that you need to maintain your
12		licenses or certifications that you currently
13		have?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. Do you do that on a routine basis or on
16		an annual basis?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And were you speaking at this event?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And that March 16th looks like a Wednesday.
21	A	Right.
22	Q	And how many days was the conference?
23	А	The 16th was a travel day. The 17th, 18th,

1		19th, 20th, and 21st.
2	Q	Those were conference days?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And you said you spoke at this event as well?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	How many times did you speak at it?
7	A	I have to look back at my CV, but I had, I
8		believe, three or four talks at that meeting.
9	Q	Okay. In prior years, had you done the same
10		amount of activity during those kinds of
11		conferences?
12	A	Within a range, yes.
13	Q	And what did your attendance at this conference
14		and speaking engagements go towards in terms of
15		your certification or licenses?
16	A	We're required by the American Institute of
17		Ultrasound in Medicine to have 30 credits over
18		three years, CME. Speaking is in addition to
19		that responsibility as a professional
20		obligation.
21	Q	Are physicians typically compensated for their
22		attendance at these CME events?
23	A	I have a reduction in registration, but we have

1		to pay for everything else.
2	Q	My question was, are you paid by the hospital
3		system or are physicians paid by the hospital
4		system for their actual attendance time at these
5		events?
6	A	We're granted CME time. Part of the mission is
7		education for the organization, and there is "X"
8		number of dollars given that we can apply for
9		remuneration that we pay so as part of our CME.
10		Professional development.
11	Q	With respect to, is that everything with respect
12		to the topic of the national teaching institute
13		that you said you attended?
14	A	I'm going to go forward. So I went to, the copy
15		is not very clear here at the top.
16	Q	What's the date or the month?
17	A	I believe it's February.
18	Q	2017?
19	A	I believe so.
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	I was invited to speak at an international
22		meeting, and I went to the International Tumor
23		Analysis Group.

1	Q	Where was that?
2	A	Brussels. Well, Leuven, Belgium.
3	Q	How many days was that?
4	A	I traveled on the 4th, and it looks like I
5		returned on the 12th.
6	Q	Did you include vacation during that time?
7	A	In part. There may have been. It's not marked
8		here.
9	Q	How many days was the conference?
10	A	Three, and then two travel days, and then
11		weekend days.
12	Q	Okay. With respect to that Heather Gunnell
13		project that you were referencing, where on your
14		calendar is that referenced in terms of hours
15		you committed to it?
16	A	I'm not sure that it is registered in here.
17	Q	Okay. So what made you think about that between
18		the first day of your deposition and the second
19		day today?
20	A	Just I had a little more time to think about
21		what I was doing.
22	Q	Okay. How many hours did you attribute to that
23		project with Heather Gunnell during the time

1		period well, first of all, what was the time
2		period that you were working on this project
3		with Heather Gunnell?
4	A	It was in the winter/spring before, the year
5		before the program closed.
6	Q	So winter 2016 into the spring of 2017?
7	А	No. It would have been probably
8		January/February into May of 2017.
9	Q	Okay. And during that time period, for the
10		first half of the 2017, how much time did you
11		devote to the Heather Gunnell project?
12	А	Roughly 2 to 4 hours a week.
13	Q	Is that every week during the time period
14		January 2017 to May 2017?
15	A	Every week to every other.
16	Q	Is there a reason why you, despite the fact that
17		you recorded all of your other time on your
18		calendar, why you did not record this time?
19	А	That was, it wasn't standard for us to do
20		academic projects in a clinic session, and then
21		it was when the IT person was available.
22	Q	Were you compensated by the hospital system for
23		this work?

```
It was a project that I was asked to do.
 1
     Α
 2
           Who asked you to do it?
     0
 3
     Α
           Leslie and Heather.
 4
           Well, okay. I understand that they asked you to
     0
 5
           do it. Were you compensated for this work?
 6
           As part of my, the request for my job. Yes.
     Α
 7
     Q
           Well, at the time, though, you were on long-term
 8
           disability, and if you didn't track your hours
 9
           how would that be calculated in terms of your
10
           compensation?
11
     Α
           I was tracking my hours that I worked.
12
           Occasionally, it went over the period of time,
13
           and I would go home a bit later, but I tried to
           truncate that, that interaction.
14
                                              It was --
15
           Well --
     0
           -- a short aside.
16
     Α
                   I'm just wondering how you could be
17
     Q
           compensated if there was no recording of your
18
19
           hours anywhere on this project?
20
           Compensated for this project?
     Α
21
     0
           Yes.
22
           Specifically? It was intermittent.
                                                 It was in
     Α
23
           the process of performing my job duties.
```

tracked my hours. I wrote down largely the clinical responsibilities, and I had the academic responsibilities. I didn't track my hours for the time that I spent preparing for talks. It's part of the job responsibility. I didn't track my hours that I gave talks. I didn't track my hours for writing a book chapter that I wrote for a national organization. So I think that to me it was part of the mix of, we're paid for 40 hours.

Here's the confusion. We're paid for 40 hours. Physicians work 60, 80, 100 hours. So unlike attorneys where you bill every minute, that's part of our organizational responsibility. And so I was tracking hours and I submitted my hours to DH. And that's where, I think that's where the confusion comes is that we work many more hours than what is on our pay stub.

I understand that. But during this entire time period that you're talking about, unlike every other physician that you're talking about, you were actually on long-term disability?

1	A	Right.
2	Q	Which is completely different from every other
3		physician who is working 40, 60, 80 hours. So
4		one of the things you said is it would be
5		reflected in a reduction in your long-term
6		disability benefits if you were working during
7		that time, correct?
8	A	Right.
9	Q	So you had to give your insurance companies
10		evidence of whether or not you were working
11		during that time because it would reflect a
12		reduction in your compensation.
13	A	Right.
14	Q	Right?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	And what I'm hearing you say, and correct me if
17		I'm wrong, and I know you will, is you didn't
18		track the academic time for purposes of any kind
19		of reporting procedure to the insurance
20		companies that were tracking your long-term
21		disability benefits.
22	A	No.
23	Q	Did you between the first day of your deposition

1		and the second day of your deposition today
2		review your transcript at all?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Did you review the whole thing?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Was it accurate?
7	A	Reasonably.
8	Q	Well, you say reasonably, I want to know whether
9		or not it was accurate.
10	A	There's some misspellings of people's names.
11	Q	Other than misspellings, any other items that
12		you recall from reviewing your transcript that
13		were either inaccurate or incomplete?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Did you speak to anyone about the substance of
16		your testimony during the first day of your
17		deposition?
18	A	My attorneys.
19	Q	Other than your attorneys, did you speak to
20		anyone else?
21	A	My husband.
22	Q	Anyone else?
23	А	No.

1	Q	Are you taking any medication today that would
2		affect the ability for you to testify
3		truthfully?
4	A	No.
5	Q	I want to ask you about current employees and
6		then former employees. What if any current
7		employees have you contacted for purposes of
8		being potential witness in your case? You
9		personally?
10	A	Michelle Russell, Joan Barthold, for current
11		employees.
12	Q	And when did you first reach out to Michelle
13		Russell?
14	A	Within the last four to six weeks.
15	Q	Okay. And what do you believe she would be able
16		to offer with respect to the allegations
17		contained in your Complaint as a witness?
18	A	She was present and spoke at the meeting that Ed
19		Merrens participated in with the department
20		following the closure of the division. So she
21		would have observations about what happened in
22		the content of that meeting.
23	Q	You were at that meeting as well, correct?

1	A	No.
2	Q	You weren't at that meeting?
3	A	No.
4	Q	This is the meeting after the closure?
5	А	Um-hum.
6	Q	Okay. And what do you believe she would be able
7		to say with respect to that particular meeting?
8	А	She was clear with me that she was very vocal
9		about my contributions to the department, to
10		patient care, to her colleagues, to the
11		well-being of women within New England and was
12		very angry that Dr. Merrens would make this
13		decision.
14	Q	Anything else?
15	А	She also relayed to me that he stated that my
16		illness was apparent to the organization and
17		defended his position by saying I was only
18		working 20 percent. I was only working
19		part-time.
20	Q	When you say defended his position, his position
21		regarding what?
22	A	Firing me.
23	Q	Firing you specifically?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Did somebody ask a question during that meeting
3		to your knowledge about the basis for your
4		termination as opposed to any of the other
5		physicians in the REI Division?
6	A	Yes. Michelle did.
7	Q	Okay. And you believe she said that Dr. Merrens
8		said that your illness was apparent to the
9		organization and that you were only working 20
10		percent at that point, part-time.
11	A	I'm paraphrasing what she told me, yes.
12	Q	Okay. Anything else about Michelle Russell and
13		her potential testimony on your behalf that
14		relates to the allegations in your Complaint?
15	A	Not that immediately comes to mind.
16	Q	And what about Joan Barthold? What do you
17		believe she would offer in terms of any
18		testimony regarding the allegations in your
19		Complaint?
20	A	She spoke out at the same meeting with a similar
21		amount of content with regards to my
22		collegiality, my importance to the department,
23		my importance to education, my importance to the

1		care and keeping of women at DH and supported
2		Michelle in her statements.
3	Q	Do you know when that meeting occurred?
4	A	The summer of 2017.
5	Q	And you consider Michelle Russell a friend of
6		yours?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Do you consider Joan Barthold a friend of yours?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	When did you first contact her?
11	A	Again, same, four to six weeks.
12	Q	Is there a reason why you reached out to both of
13		these individuals in that time period?
14	A	It was the request of my attorneys.
15	Q	I don't want to get into any communications you
16		had with your attorney, but anything else that
17		Joan Barthold would offer in terms of testimony
18		and support of your allegations in your
19		Complaint?
20	A	That's what I was asking her directly about, and
21		otherwise, it's a broad question so could you
22		clarify specifically what you mean?
23	Q	Well, you reached out to Joan Barthold for a

1		reason, right?
2	A	Right.
3	Q	And you said that she would be able to offer
4		testimony regarding this meeting in the summer
5		of 2017 with Dr. Ed Merrens, and my question is
6		are there any other topics that you believe she
7		would be able to testify on regarding any of the
8		allegations in your Complaint beyond that one
9		topic?
10	А	All the employees have had their own experience
11		independently lip with David and Albert and the
12		running of the department and the division and
13		the importance of ultrasound and the effect on
14		residency and fellow training so there are many
15		elements and so each will have their own
16		comments, yes.
17	Q	Did Michelle Russell work in the REI Division?
18	А	No.
19	Q	What about Joan Barthold?
20	А	No.
21	Q	What about former employees and whether or not
22		you've reached out to any of them for purposes
23		of soliciting their testimony in support of your

1		Complaint in this case?
2	A	Sharon Parent. And Judy McBean has reached out
3		to me.
4	Q	Anyone else?
5	А	No.
6	Q	What do you believe Ms. Parent would be able to
7		offer in terms of testimony as a witness
8		regarding the allegations in your Complaint?
9	A	She worked in the REI Division so will have, she
10		will have her opinion of the events involving
11		the allegations.
12	Q	Specifically, I want to understand what you
13		discussed with her regarding working in the REI
14		Division and the events regarding the
15		allegations in your Complaint. Specifically.
16	A	I asked her if she would speak with my
17		attorneys.
18	Q	How long was the conversation with Sharon
19		Parent?
20	А	Short. It was, will you speak with my
21		attorneys. Yes. How is your daughter Elizabeth
22		who's pregnant, ill, yes, I'll reach out.
23	Q	And with respect to Sharon Parent, did you

1		consider her a friend?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	What about Judy McBean?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And what conversation did you have with Judy
6		McBean regarding potentially testifying in this
7		case?
8	A	She contacted me after Jessica contacted her.
9	Q	Okay. And what did you discuss with Judy
10		McBean?
11	A	She did not understand why Jessica would be
12		offering to represent her or meet with her in
13		Boston.
14	Q	What did you say?
15	A	I said I had no knowledge of your firm
16		contacting her.
17	Q	What else did you discuss?
18	A	I told her that she wasn't obligated to have
19		your firm represent her and asked her if she
20		would speak with Katie.
21	Q	Okay. What did she say?
22	A	She said she would be willing to speak with
23		Katie.

1	Q	Anything else regarding that discussion with
2		Judy McBean?
3	A	She said she was reaching out to legal counsel
4		in Brattleboro.
5	Q	What, if anything, do you believe Judy McBean
6		would be able to offer by way of her testimony
7		regarding the allegations in your Complaint?
8	А	Again, as a member of the REI Division she'll
9		have had her own experience with regard to the
10		allegations in the Complaint.
11	Q	But specifically, what do you believe she would
12		be able to offer regarding the allegations in
13		the Complaint?
14	А	There will be many things that she can offer.
15	Q	Well, what did you discuss with her during that
16		call?
17	А	Will you speak with Katie.
18	Q	That's it?
19	А	We haven't subpoenaed you to this point. I'm
20		not sure why Foley & Lardner are reaching out to
21		you.
22	Q	Did you list her as a witness, as one of your
23		potential witnesses in this case?

1	A	Potentially, yes. I think so.
2	Q	Yeah. You did. Right?
3	A	Sure. But you haven't reached out to the other
4		individuals to represent them so why was Judy
5		different?
6	Q	Once again, I mean, this is the third time I'm
7		going to do it. You don't get to ask me
8		questions during this other than to clarify a
9		question. Okay? So I'm going to ask you
10		questions. And the question I have for you is
11		what specific facts do you believe Judy McBean
12		would be able to testify about regarding the
13		allegations in your Complaint?
14	A	She has direct observation of David and Albert
15		and their practice style, their billing, the
16		conversations around process of care. She's had
17		direct conversations with Dr. DeMars about
18		potential employment to open, to after a pause
19		be employed at DH. She has direct observations
20		with Dr. DeMars about my status at the
21		organization. She has intimate detail about the
22		running of REI and the, as I say, the process of
23		caring for patients.

1	Q	During the 2015/16/17 time period, was
2		Dr. McBean an employee of Dartmouth-Hitchcock?
3	A	She was a consulting provider. She's an
4		employee of Brattleboro Hospital and in her
5		consulting role was employed. She had a
6		clinical appointment but I believe not an
7		academic appointment to the organization.
8	Q	And as a result of having a clinical appointment
9		and performing services as a consulting
10		provider, how often would she be working at the
11		REI Division at Dartmouth-Hitchcock?
12	A	One to three to four days a month, and she took
13		some call on the weekends. So she would come up
14		in addition to that on the weekends on occasion.
15	Q	Was that her schedule to the best of your
16		recollection? I realize this is a long time
17		period, but from the time period of '15 all the
18		way until the REI Division closure?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Did you reach out to any other former employees
21		for purposes of being a potential witness on
22		your behalf in this litigation?
23	A	Not that I recall at this point.

1	Q	Turning your attention to one of your claims
2		regarding retaliation, what I'd like to
3		understand are all of the complaints you made on
4		various topics which you believe support your
5		claim of retaliation. And I know you've listed
6		a couple of them, and I can point them out to
7		you in Exhibit, I believe, 4. And just ask you
8		to turn to I'll get you there. I'd just ask
9		you to read to yourself paragraph 7 and let me
10		know when you're finished.
11	А	Okay.
12	Q	Okay. And is that, is paragraph 7 an accurate
13		reflection of the five categories of complaints
14		or concerns that you raised which you believe
15		resulted in retaliatory conduct towards you?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Now, at the time of Dr. Seifer's hiring,
18		what was your title prior to him becoming
19		Division Director?
20	A	Director of Gynecological Ultrasound and Interim
21		Director of Reproductive Medicine and
22		Infertility. IVF Medical Director. Vice Chair
23		of Perioperative Services, although I believe

1		Dr. DeMars took over those responsibilities when
2		I became ill.
3	Q	With respect to the Division Director role that
4		Dr. Seifer was hired into, prior to that time
5		were you, was that the Interim Director role
6		that you're talking about?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. And was it Interim REI Director?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And how long had you been functioning as
11		the Interim REI Director?
12	А	From the time that Dr. Manganiello retired.
13	Q	Who appointed you to be Interim REI Director?
14	А	It was through Karen Lancaster and Richard
15		Reindollar when they were there.
16	Q	And do you recall the year that that would have
17		happened?
18	А	No.
19	Q	How many years were you in the interim role to
20		your best recollection?
21	A	I don't recall.
22	Q	Was is more than one?
23	A	Yes.

1	Q	A couple?
2	A	As I said, I don't recall exact number of years.
3	Q	It was for a period of time though, right? It
4		wasn't six months or a year. It was much longer
5		than that, right?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	And when Leslie DeMars became Chair of OBGYN,
8		did she continue your role as the Interim REI
9		Director?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Did you ever seek to become the actual Division
12		Director of REI?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	When was that?
15	А	Before I became ill.
16	Q	How did you pursue going from interim status to
17		the actual permanent REI Division Director?
18	А	In a conversation with Leslie, meeting.
19	Q	Would that have been some time in 2015 or
20		earlier?
21	А	I don't recall the date.
22	Q	And what transpired during that conversation
23		with Dr. DeMars?

1	А	She said that she was working on getting me the
2		directorship of all of GYN Ultrasound for OBGYN
3		for the Dartmouth Health Alliance, and in her
4		estimation, that would be an important role for
5		me and the use of my strengths.
6	Q	What was your response?
7	А	I agreed.
8	Q	What was the role that she was trying to get
9		you?
10	А	Director of Gynecologic Ultrasound under the
11		umbrella of OBGYN for the Dartmouth Health
12		Alliance.
13	Q	Okay. Did that actually happen?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	When did that happen?
16	А	She announced it, I don't remember the date.
17		Around the time that she also, she also
18		announced Rebecca Pschirrer who's a high risk
19		obstetrician becoming the Director of Obstetric
20		Ultrasound and OBGYN.
21	Q	And do you recall what year it was?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Did you have any further conversations with

1		either Dr. DeMars or anyone else about becoming
2		the full-time Director of the REI Division?
3	A	Others have asked me about it at various times
4		and Dr. Esfandiari was encouraging it. It was
5		Dr. DeMars's decision.
6	Q	And you'd reached out to Dr. DeMars because you
7		actually wanted to be the permanent REI Division
8		Director.
9	А	Correct.
10	Q	With respect to the five categories of concerns
11		or complaints that you raised in paragraph 7 of
12		your Complaint, I want to go through each and
13		every one of them.
14		The first one is tolerance of medical care
15		below acceptable standards of care. With
16		respect to that concern, what, if any,
17		complaints did you make regarding that topic?
18	A	There are multiple over an extended period of
19		time with regards to Dr. Hsu, with regards to
20		Dr. Seifer, and their medical practices.
21	Q	And who specifically did you complain to
22		regarding Dr. Hsu and Dr. Seifer and their
23		medical practices?

1	А	Heather Gunnell and Leslie DeMars.
2	Q	And you said you made multiple complaints to
3		them about the medical practice of Drs. Hsu and
4		Seifer?
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	Do you recall when you first made complaints
7		about Dr. Hsu to Ms. Gunnell or Dr. DeMars?
8	А	As I would define a complaint, it would be a few
9		months after Albert started.
10	Q	Do you recall when Albert Hsu started at the REI
11		Division?
12	A	He started in the summer. I don't remember the
13		year exactly.
14	Q	Summer of 2014?
15	А	That may be correct.
16	Q	Did you have anything to do with his hiring?
17	A	No.
18	Q	And you made complaints to Ms. Gunnell and Dr.
19		DeMars a couple of months after his hiring?
20	A	Within the first few months, I made complaints.
21	Q	Did you complain to anyone else other than Ms.
22		Gunnell and Dr. DeMars about Dr. Hsu that you
23		recall?

1	A	I also filled out evaluations for him as Interim
2		Division Director for Credentialing Committee,
3		and as Interim Division Director I also filled
4		out evaluations that were part of the standard
5		hiring practice.
6	Q	Any other avenues that you pursued or
7		communicated your complaints about Dr. Hsu's
8		medical practices?
9	A	I reported directly to them.
10	Q	Okay. When did you first report any concerns
11		about Dr. Seifer in his medical practices?
12	А	The summer after he started.
13	Q	Some time in 2016? Summer of 2016?
14	А	If that's the year he started, yes.
15	Q	With respect to Dr. Hsu's medical practice, do
16		you recall generally the concerns you were
17		raising to either Ms. Gunnell or Dr. DeMars?
18	А	Yes, and I documented them extensively in a
19		letter to Dr. Seifer and Dr. DeMars.
20	Q	That's where you highlighted them?
21	А	That's where I synthesized them after months of
22		expressing concern, yes.
23	Q	So up until that time, the concerns you were

1		expressing were verbally to Ms. Gunnell and Dr.
2		DeMars?
3	A	And the evaluations that I filled out. There
4		may be other emails, yes.
5	Q	Okay. And what about Dr. Seifer? When did you
6		first express concerns in writing to either Ms.
7		Gunnell or Dr. DeMars?
8	A	In the summer after he started. I believe he
9		started late winter or early spring.
10	Q	Okay. And specifically what do you recall
11		regarding the nature of your concerns or
12		complaints that you raised regarding Dr.
13		Seifer's medical practices?
14	A	From the beginning it was he was in the clinical
15		space with Albert seeing patients without having
16		his licensure confirmed with the State of New
17		Hampshire. The secretaries had raised that
18		question to me because he was actually in
19		treatment rooms observing Albert and his
20		independent licensure hadn't been approved by
21		the State of New Hampshire yet.
22	Q	So the concerns that you raised were concerns
23		that had been brought to your attention by the

1		secretaries in the REI Division?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Any other concerns about Dr. Seifer's medical
4		practices that you recall specifically in
5		reaching out to Ms. Gunnell or Dr. DeMars
6		regarding Dr. Seifer's medical practice?
7	А	Yes. Dr. DeMars asked me to go in and observe
8		IVF egg harvest directly with Dr. Seifer in
9		August of that summer after she had received
10		multiple complaints from the nursing staff that
11		patients were being put at risk and harmed.
12	Q	How do you know she received multiple complaints
13		from the nursing staff that patients were at
14		risk of harm?
15	А	The nurses told me directly. They came to me.
16	Q	Who were they?
17	А	Sharon Parent, Casey Dodge, Mary Martin.
18	Q	Anyone else?
19	А	Yeah. Pam, I'm blanking on her last name. And
20		then a Jamie, I'm blanking on her last name
21		right now, too. There are individuals who had
22		been present in egg harvest with him.
23	Q	Okay. And did you at some point then in August

1		2016 observe his practices, Dr. Seifer's
2		practices with respect to the IVF egg harvest
3		technique?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And was that the first procedure that you
6		observed Dr. Seifer perform?
7	A	I may have observed embryo transfers before
8		that. I don't recall right now.
9	Q	How did you raise your concerns? Well, let's go
10		back to the IVF egg harvest, that technique that
11		you saw Dr. Seifer perform in August 2016. What
12		was your general assessment?
13	А	That his skill and approach did not match his
14		stated experience.
15	Q	How so?
16	А	He seemed to me to have very rudimentary
17		technique. He didn't seem to understand the
18		system necessary for the technical aspects of
19		harvest. He struggled with the aspiration of
20		follicles, and it was very out of context to
21		someone of his stated stature.
22	Q	Did you communicate any of these concerns to Dr.
23		Seifer at the time?

1	A	Yes. I didn't, I told him I felt that the
2		technique that we utilize is very different than
3		what he performed, and I gave him some
4		remediation comments.
5	Q	Okay. How did you express your concerns
6		regarding Dr. Seifer's medical practices to
7		Ms. Gunnell and Dr. DeMars?
8	A	Verbally. In writing with Dr. DeMars at the
9		time of his, also in writing at the time of his
10		30-day or 60-day and 90-day review. There were
11		a couple of reviews that I sent comments to.
12		And then when the nurses, the ultrasound techs,
13		the residents, the other faculty were expressing
14		concern to me, I asked them to both go to their
15		bosses and to go directly to Heather and to
16		Leslie to express their concern directly.
17	Q	And that was based upon whatever their personal
18		observations were, correct?
19	A	Right.
20	Q	It wasn't anything that you had firsthand
21		knowledge of?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Other than what you testified to.

```
1
     Α
           Yes.
 2
           We've been going about an hour. Why don't we
     0
 3
           take a quick five-minute break.
 4
                    (Recess taken 10:56 - 11:02 a.m.)
 5
     BY MR. SCHROEDER:
 6
           Have we exhausted all of your testimony
     Q
 7
           regarding the subject of the issue of the
           category of tolerance of medical care below
 8
 9
           acceptable standards of care?
                                           That specific
10
           category?
           We've summarized it. I don't know that we've
11
     Α
12
           exhausted it. You know, I gave you a summary of
13
           the complaints.
14
     Q
           To the extent that you made any complaints in
15
           writing to Ms. Gunnell and Leslie DeMars, they'd
16
           be reflected in emails or in the evaluations
           that you did for either Dr. Hsu or Dr. Seifer?
17
           Not all of them. I didn't write an email for
18
     А
19
           every conversation I had with them.
20
           The ones that were in writing.
     0
21
           The ones that were in writing?
     Α
22
           That was the question.
     0
23
     Α
           Yes.
```

1	Q	Okay. I want to turn to your allegation
2		regarding concerns or complaints you made about,
3		quote, "fraudulent billing practices." What do
4		you recall specifically about any concerns or
5		complaints you made about the topic of, quote,
6		"fraudulent billing practice," end quote, to
7		anyone at Dartmouth-Hitchcock?
8	А	I spoke with Leslie, I spoke with Heather
9		Gunnell, and I raised the issue at team meeting
10		for REI, and I raised the issue at the Value
11		Institute retreats.
12	Q	And specifically, what do you recall you stated
13		regarding billing practices and whether or not
14		they were appropriate? At any, to any of these
15		people or any of these events?
16	А	They were ordering and performing unnecessary
17		testing and that they were billing for that
18		unnecessary testing.
19	Q	Do you recall the specific forms of tests that
20		you believe were unnecessary?
21	A	The most notable one was the performance of
22		trial or mock embryo transfers on every female
23		who was being evaluated for infertility.

1	Q	You initially said they were conducting and
2		performing unnecessary testing. Just want to
3		understand who "they" is?
4	A	It was Albert and David.
5	Q	So both Dr. Seifer and Dr. Hsu?
6	A	Yes. Oftentimes they were in the room together
7		doing these things.
8	Q	How did you know that? Based on what other
9		people told you?
10	A	No. I observed them directly, but also based on
11		what the ultrasound techs were talking to me
12		about.
13	Q	Who would the ultrasound techs be that would
14		talk to you about Dr. Seifer's and Dr. Hsu's
15		ordering of unnecessary tests?
16	A	The person who roomed the patient who expressed
17		concern was Jennifer, I believe her last name
18		was Carpenter. She was the tech's assistant who
19		roomed the patients. And then Jenice Gonyea and
20		then there were one or two of the other techs
21		expressed concerns, and to my recollection it
22		was Bonnie Nester and perhaps Megan.
23	Q	Who attended on a regular basis the REI team

1		meetings?
2	A	It was a flex of individuals who are available,
3		but it was Dr. Seifer, myself, Albert Hsu,
4		Elizabeth Todd, Kelly Mousley, Heather Gunnell.
5		Usually someone from the lab. It may have been
6		Dr. Esfandiari at times. Whoever was available
7		from the lab.
8	Q	Anyone else?
9	А	Not to my recollection at this point.
10	Q	What about the Value Institute retreats? Would
11		that include all of the individuals you just
12		mentioned?
13	A	Again, coming and going, yes.
14	Q	Right. I understand that. I'm just trying to
15		understand who potentially would attend and
16	A	And then the members of the Value Institute.
17	Q	And who would they have been? Who's that?
18	A	Katie Wira. There was someone who worked
19		underneath Katie. I'm not sure. I don't
20		remember her name.
21	Q	Anyone else?
22	A	There was another gentleman who came and went,
23		and I don't remember his name.

1	Q	Okay. Any other avenues that you believe, that
2		you pursued for purposes of raising concerns or
3		complaints about quote, "fraudulent bill
4		practices," unquote?
5	А	Not that comes to mind right now.
6	Q	Okay. I want to turn your attention to Exhibit
7		2 which are the Dartmouth-Hitchcock or I should
8		say your responses to Dartmouth-Hitchcock
9		Medical Center's First Set of Interrogatories.
10		It was previously marked Exhibit 2.
11		Ask you to turn your attention to page 19,
12		number 12. I'd just ask you to read to yourself
13		the question and response, and then let me know
14		when you're done so I can ask you some questions
15		about it.
16	А	Okay.
17	Q	Okay. Does this summarize, this response
18		summarize and actually state specifically all
19		the bases that you believe Dr. Hsu and Dr.
20		Seifer were engaging in quote, "fraudulent," end
21		quote, and quote, "unlawful," end quote, conduct
22		by, quote, "order and billing for unnecessary
23		patient testing," end quote?

1	A	It summarizes.
2	Q	Okay. Are there any other examples beyond the
3		ones that you've identified here in response to
4		Interrogatory number 12 in Exhibit 2 that you
5		can recall sitting here today?
6	A	It's summarized in Interrogatory 12.
7	Q	I understand it's summarized. My question is
8		are there any other kinds of tests that you
9		believe were in your mind fraudulent or unlawful
10		that were ordered by Dr. Seifer or Dr. Hsu?
11	A	They had a pattern of ordering unnecessary blood
12		tests and additional ultrasounds.
13	Q	What's the basis for your believe that they had
14		a pattern of ordering unnecessary blood tests
15		and ultrasounds?
16	A	Patients were being asked to come back for very
17		frequent monitoring despite the fact there was
18		no clinical evidence that it was necessary at
19		that juncture.
20	Q	How did you know that?
21	A	I was reading the ultrasounds. There would be
22		conversation of in-cycling patients with members
23		of the team. The nurses asked me to approach

1		them to see if they would change their plans.
2	Q	Were you responsible for reading the ultrasounds
3		of Dr. Seifer and Dr. Hsu's patients at any
4		point?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	What time period was that?
7	А	In the time period that I was working in the
8		division that they were working. Dr. Hsu shared
9		some of the responsibility of reading
10		ultrasounds, but we had days that we covered.
11	Q	Okay. What about blood tests; what is the basis
12		for your knowledge that they ordered unnecessary
13		blood tests?
14	A	The blood tests were the corollary test that
15		went along with the ultrasound, and there was a
16		discussion with members of the team again of
17		currently cycling patients and what the results
18		were and what the plan was, had been made by the
19		attending that was making plans for those
20		patients.
21	Q	How many times do you believe either Dr. Hsu or
22		Dr. Seifer ordered unnecessary blood tests which
23		obviously were corollary to the ultrasounds?

1	A	25 to 50?
2	Q	What's the basis for your knowledge on that?
3	A	Seeing the ultrasound, seeing the blood test
4		results, evaluating the monitoring sheets and
5		our computerized medical records and
6		conversations with the nursing staff and with
7		Albert and David about those results.
8	Q	How many times did you approach either Dr.
9		Seifer or Dr. Hsu about this specific issue of
10		ordering blood tests and ultrasounds?
11	A	At least ten.
12	Q	What was their response?
13	A	Albert oftentimes would reconsider but not
14		always. David wanted to persist with his plan.
15		And I directed the nurses to have conversations
16		with the two of them.
17	Q	Okay. Anything else regarding this particular
18		category that we've just gone over?
19	А	No.
20	Q	I'd ask you to turn to number 13 and ask you to
21		read to yourself. Let me know when you're
22		finished.
23	A	Oh, yes.

```
1
           Let me know when you're finished.
     0
 2
     Α
           Okay.
 3
           Interrogatory number 13 asks you to identify
     0
 4
           each and every law that you contend was violated
 5
           by the junior physician's alleged billing
 6
           practices as alleged in paragraph 78 of the
 7
           Complaint.
 8
                You go on to recite a number of statutes in
 9
           there and a specific issue regarding use of
10
           space designated for inpatient care related to
11
           outpatient couples. I think you testified
12
           briefly about this the first day.
13
     Α
           Yes.
14
     Q
           It's the same subject, correct?
15
     Α
           Correct.
           How many times, this relates to Dr. Hsu,
16
     Q
17
           correct?
           Both of them, but it was a predominant habit of
18
     А
19
           Dr. Hsu.
20
                  I don't think see anything in here about
     Q
21
           Dr. Seifer doing this.
22
           He on occasion was observing Dr. Hsu's clinic.
     Α
23
           Okay. So he saw it, but he didn't do it
     0
```

1		specifically.
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	How many times do you believe Dr. Hsu took
4		outpatient couples to inpatient space and then
5		billed for outpatient services within the
6		inpatient space?
7	A	Numerous. Multiple. More than 50.
8	Q	What's the basis for your knowledge that would
9		constitute billing fraud for somebody to see
10		outpatient couples within inpatient space? Like
11		what's your specific knowledge?
12	А	Direct conversations with practice managers
13		within OBGYN.
14	Q	Do you know how the services that Dr. Hsu
15		performed were actually billed, what actually
16		occurred?
17	А	Yes. They were outpatient services.
18	Q	And they were billed how?
19	А	They would have been structurally billed through
20		the Visit Navigator in our computer system as
21		ambulatory outpatient services because the
22		patient was booked in an outpatient context.
23	Q	Okay. And would that be less or more than if

1		they were as an inpatient?
2	A	I don't know that.
3	Q	Okay. And do you know specifically how Dr.
4		Hsu's patients were billed under the scenario
5		that you've highlighted in Interrogatory number
6		13?
7	А	As outpatient services.
8	Q	Okay. And what do you believe was fraudulent
9		regarding or improper regarding the actual
10		billing that was done?
11	A	That proper billing practices do not allow for
12		care of an outpatient in an inpatient setting,
13		and that had been reinforced to many members of
14		the department over many years from our practice
15		manager such that if an inpatient needs a
16		procedure that can be done as an outpatient, we
17		can't bring them to the outpatient setting and
18		the reverse is true as well. If a patient is
19		designated as an outpatient, we cannot bring
20		them to an inpatient setting for consultation or
21		procedures.
22		And there was a consultation room set up
23		specifically for these services that was across

1		the hallway from where Dr. Hsu insisted on
2		seeing patients, and it was made very clear to
3		me by prior coding specialists at DHMC that I
4		needed to use that facility. We had multiple
5		coders, and it was made very clear to me by the
6		practice managers that we could not bill for
7		consultative services in an inpatient setting.
8	Q	Okay.
9	А	And that for the many years both by coders and
10		by the, that it was inconsistent with proper
11		billing practice and by the practice managers.
12	Q	With respect to this and how Dr. Hsu would have
13		processed it in that Navigator system, they were
14		treated as outpatients as if they had been seen
15		in an outpatient space, correct?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	So and that was what your understanding was,
18		correct?
19	A	They were outpatients treated as outpatients at
20		an inpatient setting, yes.
21	Q	And you complained about this to Dr. Seifer as
22		well as Heather Gunnell and Dr. DeMars, correct?
23	A	Correct.

1	Q	Do you recall whether or not this was
2		communicated to anyone else?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Did you do this verbally or in writing?
5	A	Both verbally and in writing to Dr. DeMars, and
6		verbally to Heather and verbally to David
7		Seifer.
8	Q	With respect to your comment here, inpatient
9		space is often billed at a higher rate, right?
10	А	I assume so. Yes.
11	Q	Well, you said that.
12	А	Right.
13	Q	These are your Interrogatory Answers and you
14		signed them under the pains and penalties of
15		perjury. So that's a comment you made in here,
16		correct?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	And so am I correct that if somebody's billed
19		outpatient space, it would be lesser than an
20		inpatient space?
21	А	Depending on what level of service they bill,
22		Mr. Schroeder.
23	Q	Well, you state here inpatient space is often

1		billed at a higher rate, right?
2	A	Correct. That's what I said.
3	Q	And to your knowledge, none of the patients that
4		receive outpatient care were billed for
5		inpatient designated rooms at a higher rate?
6	A	I couldn't state about the rate.
7	Q	Well, you told me that they were billed as
8		outpatients and outpatient space, right?
9	А	Please restate your question.
10	Q	Can you say that again?
11		COURT REPORTER: And to your knowledge,
12		none of the patients that receive outpatient
13		care were billed for inpatient designated rooms
14		at a higher rate?
15	А	Again, I can't state the rate that they were
16		billed at. Albert would have selected that
17		himself.
18	Q	So your testimony though earlier was that they
19		would have been billed as outpatients in
20		outpatient space, right?
21		MR. VITT: Objection. I don't think that's
22		what she said.
23		MR. SCHROEDER: Can you go back?

1		COURT REPORTER: They would have been
2		structurally billed through the Visit Navigator
3		in our computer system as ambulatory outpatient
4		services because the patient was booked in an
5		outpatient context.
6	BY MR	. SCHROEDER:
7	Q	Does that refresh your recollection? So that
8		would have been as if they had been seen in an
9		outpatient space even though they'd been seen in
10		an inpatient space?
11	А	Correct.
12	Q	Okay.
13	А	But it doesn't say anything about rate in that
14		comment.
15	Q	I understand that. But your comment in your
16		Interrogatory response is that inpatient space
17		is often billed at a higher rate, correct?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	Ask you to go back to Interrogatory 11 which is
20		page 17. Before I ask you a question about
21		Interrogatory 11 I just want to make sure. Have
22		we exhausted your knowledge about the category
23		of, quote, "fraudulent billing practices," end

1		quote?
2	A	That I recall at this period of time.
3	Q	And as you state in your Interrogatory
4		responses.
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	Okay. Interrogatory 11 asks identify each and
7		every ultrasound tech who expressed further
8		concern that unnecessary and inappropriate
9		procedures were being performed on patients
10		without appropriate consent as alleged in
11		paragraph 62. You see that?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Now the third category that we went over from
14		paragraph 7 of your Complaint related to
15		performing procedures on patients without
16		consent, and I just want to understand the basis
17		for your belief, well, the basis for your
18		complaint or concern that you raised regarding
19		that topic. Did you have personal knowledge or
20		was it something that other people told you?
21	А	I have personal knowledge from a conversation
22		with Jenice Gonyea.
23	Q	Personal knowledge is based upon what you

1		personally observed. Your knowledge is based
2		upon what Ms. Gonyea told you?
3	A	My knowledge is based on what Ms. Gonyea told
4		me. Yes.
5	Q	Do you have any personal firsthand knowledge of
6		any instances where either Dr. Hsu or Dr. Seifer
7		performed procedures on patients without their
8		consent?
9	А	I was not present for these procedures.
10	Q	Okay. Let's try to answer the question though.
11		Do you have any personal firsthand
12		knowledge of any instances where Dr. Seifer or
13		Dr. Hsu performed procedures on patients without
14		consent being in place?
15	А	I did not observe any procedures where the
16		consent had not been obtained beforehand.
17	Q	And to your knowledge, the only person who
18		notified you about this issue of performing
19		procedures on patients without their consent
20		came from Jenice Gonyea?
21	A	She was the primary person who reported it. It
22		was also expressed by Jennifer Carpenter, but I
23		don't know if she personally observed it or not.

1	Q	Okay. Any other individuals other than Jenice
2		Gonyea or Jennifer Carpenter who raised the
3		issue of consent regarding procedures on
4		patients of Dr. Hsu or Dr. Seifer?
5	A	Elizabeth Todd had a conversation with Jenice as
6		well.
7	Q	How do you know that?
8	А	She brought it to my attention. She came to me
9		about it.
10	Q	Who brought it to your attention?
11	А	Both Jenice and Elizabeth Todd.
12	Q	So other than Jenice Gonyea, Beth Todd and
13		Jennifer Carpenter, anyone else that raised
14		concerns about lack of consent for procedures
15		done by Drs. Hsu or Seifer?
16	А	No. Well, I'll amend that from the fact that
17		Dennis Seguin who is Jenice's supervisor came up
18		to talk to me and I sent him to Dr. DeMars.
19	Q	Did you have any discussion with him about it?
20	А	Very truncated. We were in the middle of a work
21		session, and I suggested that he go directly to
22		Heather. I suggested he go to Heather, he go to
23		Leslie and go to the head of ultrasound.

1	Q	And do you know if he did so?
2	A	I do not.
3	Q	And the two people that you reached out to were
4		Heather Gunnell and Dr. DeMars, correct?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	And do you know whether or not did you reach
7		out to anyone else in Dartmouth-Hitchcock
8		management to express concerns that had been
9		raised to you by these individuals?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Do you have any knowledge as to whether or not
12		Ms. Gunnell or Dr. DeMars followed up with Drs.
13		Hsu or Seifer?
14	A	Dr. DeMars told me that she would speak with
15		them and that it would stop.
16	Q	Do you know whether she did?
17	A	I don't directly have knowledge of whether she
18		did or not.
19	Q	What was the time frame when you had that
20		conversation with Dr. DeMars?
21	А	Within days of Jenice raising the concerns.
22	Q	And do you recall a specific time period when
23		that happened?

	1	
1	A	No.
2	Q	Do you recall the year it happened?
3	A	It was winter/spring of 2017.
4	Q	With respect to the I just want to go back to
5		a topic we discussed before. Trial or mock
6		embryo transfers. Have you actually ordered
7		them for patients?
8	А	Sure.
9	Q	And was your issue with respect to Dr. Seifer or
10		Hsu ordering them that they ordered them for all
11		infertility patients as opposed to just a
12		portion of them?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Did they actually perform the tests on those
15		patients?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And you just believe that you shouldn't have to
18		do them for all infertility patients?
19	A	It is not the standard of care.
20	Q	When you say it's not the standard of care,
21		what's that based on?
22	A	The American Society for Reproductive Medicine
23		guidelines.

1	Q	Is there something in there that says anything
2		about trial or mock embryo transfers?
3	A	It's not part of the paradigm for workup and
4		evaluation of all infertility patients, and of
5		the REI providers that I currently practice
6		with, and the REI providers that preceded Albert
7		and David it was not part of the new infertility
8		patient workup.
9	Q	I understand it was not part of the new
10		infertility workup either with the people you
11		work with now or the people that you worked with
12		before Dr. Seifer and Hsu. My question is is
13		there a specific provider in the ASRM guidelines
14		or protocols that actually addresses this
15		specific issue?
16	А	It's not mentioned as part of the paradigm.
17	Q	And you believe because it's not mentioned as
18		part of the paradigm that it would have been
19		inappropriate to do it for all infertility
20		treated couples?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Have we discussed all of the issues relating to
23		the category performing procedures on patients

1		without consent as identified as the third
2		category of concerns or complaints that you
3		raised?
4	A	To my knowledge at this point. Yes.
5	Q	Turning your attention to the fourth category
6		from paragraph 7 of your Complaint, you raised a
7		concern about a Zika-exposed patient and the
8		fact that you raised a concern about a
9		Zika-exposed patient being impregnated through
10		assisted reproduction, correct?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	And can you explain to me what that relates to
13		specifically?
14	А	Would you please rephrase your question?
15	Q	Sure. The fourth category of concerns or
16		complaints that you believe support your
17		retaliation claim is regarding a Zika-exposed
18		patient being impregnated through assisted
19		reproduction.
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	And at some point you raised a concern or
22		complaint about that, correct?
23	A	Correct.

1	Q	What I want to understand is what did that
2		actually entail? What were the specifics about
3		that event that caused you to raise a concern or
4		complaint?
5	A	There's an Interrogatory. Shall we refer to
6		that?
7	Q	If that would help you refresh your
8		recollection. Let's go to page 24 of your
9		Interrogatory Answers.
10		Just so the record reflects, the witness is
11		reviewing the response to Interrogatory number
12		16 relating to paragraph 93 of her Complaint
13		which includes a reference to the subject of
14		Zika virus, specific patients being treated in
15		that context.
16		Have you had a chance to review that
17		response?
18	А	I'm still reading it.
19	Q	Read the whole response because that will also
20		relate to the fifth category of concerns or
21		complaints you raised which you believe support
22		your retaliation claim.
23	A	Okay.

1	Q	Now, with respect to the category related to
2		your concerns about the Zika-exposed patient,
3		does this refresh your recollection as to the
4		specific nature of that concern or complaint?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	What do you recall as to your involvement with
7		respect to this particular patient and the issue
8		of whether or not one or both members of the
9		couple had been exposed to the Zika virus?
10	A	I was asked by Dr. Esfandiari to review their
11		chart as he had concerns about the care of this
12		couple. I reviewed the chart. As IVF Medical
13		Director that would be appropriate.
14	Q	Okay. What did you determine?
15	A	The couple had, I believe on the day that they
16		saw Albert in clinic they traveled that night to
17		Brazil which is a known Zika endemic area, and
18		there were many babies born with congenital
19		anomalies to women in Brazil, and it was all
20		over the news and all over our national
21		organization's website, the American College of
22		OBGYN's website and the CDC with guidance that
23		had come down about the care of these patients

1		where there is ART care being given. The couple
2		also traveled to the Caribbean subsequent to
3		this which is also on the map for Zika exposure.
4		So not one but two exposures, and they were
5		having unprotected intercourse in that period of
6		time. And so it's not just mosquitos. In terms
7		of transmission, it became known in this period
8		of time where there was a rapidly evolving
9		science that men could transmit the Zika virus
10		for a prolonged period of time in their semen
11		despite having negative blood tests.
12	Q	Okay. What, if anything, did you do as a result
13		of reviewing the relevant charts?
14	A	I called Risk Management.
15	Q	Who did you call in Risk Management?
16	A	I spoke with Karen Boedtker.
17	Q	Did you speak with anyone else in Risk
18		Management relating to the subject?
19	A	Not that I recall at this point.
20	Q	Okay. And what, if anything, did you say or do
21		with respect to Risk Management?
22	A	I spoke with Karen to say that we were not
23		meeting the standard recommendations from the

1		CDC or from our national organization to proceed
2		with care for this couple and allow them to go
3		forward with an embryo transfer, and I sent her
4		a copy of the guidance by email.
5	Q	And the guidance you're referring to
6		specifically, what do you recall that was?
7	А	They should wait six months.
8	Q	No. I understand that. But the name of the
9		guidance that was coming from what organization?
10	А	The CDC, ASRM which is American Society for
11		Reproductive Medicine, and the American College
12		of OBGYN as well as the World Health
13		Organization had recommendations.
14	Q	What, if any, response did you receive from
15		Karen Boedtker?
16	A	She told me that David and Risk Management to my
17		recollection now had written a statement for the
18		couple to proceed assuming the risks of that
19		transfer.
20	Q	Had you ever seen a consent form or a document
21		like that in the past?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Used in the REI Division?

1	A	No.
2	Q	Did have you any personal interaction with Dr.
3		Seifer or Dr. Hsu about this particular patient?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	This couple?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And what did you let's first exhaust your
8		discussions with Risk Management. How many
9		conversations did you have with them?
10	A	One and a subsequent email.
11	Q	So one conversation with Karen Boedtker and then
12		one email to her?
13	А	One or two. Yes.
14	Q	Anyone else in Risk Management that you spoke
15		to?
16	А	Not that I recall at this point.
17	Q	And that was the one and only time that you'd
18		reached out to Risk Management regarding Dr.
19		Seifer and Dr. Hsu, correct?
20	A	No.
21	Q	What other time?
22	A	We had other conversations about patients that
23		were seeking remuneration for their care but

1 also lodging complaints about their care. 2 Well, I asked you about any concerns or 0 3 complaints that you raised and you said you'd 4 raised them with Ms. Gunnell and Dr. DeMars and 5 I asked you anyone else and you said no. 6 you're telling me you had conversation with Risk 7 Management about other conversations regarding 8 complaints lodged with the hospital. So when 9 did those occur? 10 Α Could you clarify your question? Are we talking 11 about Zika or are we talking about the process 12 of care? 13 Q I'm talking about now any time that you had reached out to Risk Management. The only time 14 15 you identify in your Interrogatory responses which are 26 pages in length that you reached 16 17 out to Risk Management to raise a concern or complaint related to this issue of the Zika 18 19 virus. I then asked you whether or not that was 20 the only time because that's what's reflected in 21 all of your Interrogatory responses. Now you're 22 telling me that you've reached out to Risk 23 Management or spoke to them on other occasions

1		about Drs. Hsu and Seifer.
2	A	What is your question?
3	Q	I want to know how many times you spoke with
4		Risk Management regarding any concerns or
5		complaints about Drs. Hsu or Seifer.
6	А	They may have contacted me and then I reached
7		back out to them.
8	Q	Okay. But this was the one time that you
9		contacted them regarding an issue relating to
10		Dr. Seifer or Dr. Hsu?
11	А	This is the one time I reached out to them with
12		regards to the Zika virus.
13	Q	Right. But do you recall any other instances
14		that you reached out to Risk Management
15		regarding Drs. Hsu or Seifer as you sit here
16		today?
17	А	I had multiple conversations with them about
18		specific patient care issues, both in email and
19		on the phone when they contacted me. So the
20		definition of "reaching out" is I would ask you
21		what is the definition of "reaching out."
22	Q	Well, so it's one way. It's one way. You
23		reached out to them. Did you contact Risk

1		Management for the purposes of any concerns
2		regarding Dr. Seifer and/or Hsu other than the
3		Zika virus, and you said well, there were these
4		other communications, but it's where they
5		initially reached out to you and then you
6		reached back out to them.
7	A	That I recall at this point.
8	Q	Right. And so the multiple conversations that
9		you had with Risk Management about patient care
10		issues, I want you to identify those specific
11		instances. So first of all, if you spoke to
12		Risk Management did you speak to anyone ever
13		other than Karen Boedtker?
14	А	Yes. And I can't recall who.
15	Q	More than one person?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	You have no idea who?
18	А	No. Not right now.
19	Q	Okay.
20	А	It was whoever picked up the phone and called us
21		back. It's how they run things in their office.
22	Q	And you recall the specific examples of
23		conversations you had with Risk Management about

```
1
           any patient care issues for Dr. Seifer.
 2
     Α
           No.
 3
           And do you recall the specific nature of any
     0
 4
           conversations you had with Risk Management about
 5
           patient care issues involving Dr. Hsu?
 6
     Α
           Yes.
 7
     Q
           What do you recall?
 8
     Α
           There was a patient or a couple that I had
 9
           initially cared for with one of my partners for
10
           their first pregnancy who were re-establishing
11
           care, and he was part of the Dartmouth Medical
12
           School class. They were coming back for their
13
           second pregnancy. I saw them in the clinic
           right before I was ill, and we had established a
14
15
           plan to go forward.
                After I became ill, Dr. Hsu took over the
16
17
           care of the couple. I had documented a short
           note in the chart saying she got pregnant with
18
19
           this treatment previously. We should do the
20
                  If it's not broke, don't fix it
21
           basically.
22
           Um-hum.
     0
23
     Α
           And he changed the plan in cycle and instead of
```

1 choosing to inject sperm into the egg for 2 fertilization they elected to do a routine IVF 3 which was just washing sperm and putting it into 4 the dish with eggs. She was young. They had a 5 complete failed fertilization cycle. They were 6 paying out-of-pocket as a medical student. There was a lot of social reason for them to 8 have a large family, and they were devastated by 9 the failed fertilization. 10 Okay. 0 11 Α They did not become pregnant and lodged a 12 complaint, I believe, with Patient Relations, 13 with Heather Gunnell, and I believe from there it was sent to Risk Management. Leslie became 14 15 involved, and I got an email from Risk Management saying help us to understand this. 16 17 So I had conversations about that patient or 18 that couple. 19 There was a, there's a series of couples 20 that I'm not recalling all the specific 21 circumstance right now where Albert made 22 clinical decisions and the patients were unhappy 23 with their care, and in review by Dr. DeMars,

1		she asked me when I returned to assume the care
2		of these couples directly one-on-one, and we
3		wrote off their charges for IVF and our
4		services.
5	Q	Do you know how many couples you're talking
6		about?
7	А	I'd say five to eight.
8	Q	To your knowledge had any patients of yours ever
9		lodged any complaints with Patient Relations or
10		Risk Management or anyone else at the hospital
11		regarding care?
12	А	Sure. Yes.
13	Q	How many times has that happened?
14	А	Three to five in 20 years.
15	Q	Other than what you just stated, are there any
16		other instances where you had communications
17		with Risk Management about Dr. Hsu that you
18		recall?
19	А	It was all related to specific patient care
20		complaints and concerns to my recollection at
21		this point.
22	Q	That's what you were just testifying to,
23		correct?

```
Α
           Correct. May I take a break?
 1
 2
           Absolutely. I think lunch will be here
     0
 3
           momentarily.
 4
                (Lunch recess 11:59 a.m. - 12:55 p.m.)
 5
     BY MR. SCHROEDER:
 6
           Okay. Just going back on the record.
     Q
 7
                We just left off on the fourth category of
 8
           concerns, complaints that you believe Form the
 9
           basis of your retaliation claim.
                                              The fifth
10
           category relates to -- and it's the fifth and
11
           final one, failing to retain necessary personnel
12
           to validate federally required data reports upon
13
           closing the REI Division. Do you see that?
14
     Α
           Page 17?
15
     0
           We're on page 25 of the Interrogatory responses.
           Okay.
16
     Α
17
     0
           Sorry.
           That's all right. I got it.
18
     А
19
           It was failure to retain appropriate physician
     0
20
           staff with knowledge as required to full-time
21
           validation and reporting obligations.
22
           the fifth category. And I think this second
23
           part of your response, Interrogatory 16 speaks
```

```
1
           to that.
 2
           Correct.
     Α
 3
           Am I correct?
     0
 4
     Α
           Yes.
 5
           Okay. And I understand that you believe that if
     Q
 6
           you were still employed there, you would have
           been able to validate the data required by SART?
 7
 8
     Α
           Yes.
 9
           What does SART stand for?
     0
           Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology.
10
     Α
11
     0
           Okay. With respect to that category of concerns
12
           that you believe forms the basis of your
13
           retaliation claim, did you raise those concerns
           or complaints with anybody at
14
15
           Dartmouth-Hitchcock?
16
     Α
           Yes.
17
     0
           When?
           I spoke to Leslie about it, and I believe that I
18
     Α
19
           address it in my letter which we should pull
20
           out.
                 Right?
21
                  Letter on or about May 25th, I think,
     Q
22
           which is Exhibit 12.
23
     Α
           I'd say --
```

1	Q	You're referring to Exhibit 12?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Sorry. Okay. What page?
4	A	Third page.
5	Q	Okay. What do you say with respect to this
6		topic?
7	A	Other notable roles I have served and would
8		continue to serve that are clearly of importance
9		to DH include providing clinical knowledge for
10		FDA and CAP inspections for Reproductive
11		Medicine Lab, liaison to UVM Medical Center for
12		all infertility and ART patients including
13		cancer patients who need urgent cryopreservation
14		of gametes or embryos, and three, continued
15		education resources for the residents on REI
16		topics. I don't specifically talk about SART in
17		there.
18	Q	Okay. But this is one of the places that you
19		raised just some of the things that you had been
20		doing?
21	A	Right.
22	Q	And would continue to do if you were retained,
23		right?

1	А	Correct.
2	Q	And with respect to the, that was May 25th,
3		2017. Is that the same time frame in which you
4		spoke to Leslie DeMars about your ability to
5		fulfill the role regarding reporting obligations
6		to SART?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Was that one of the followup conversations after
9		you'd been informed of the closure of the REI
10		Division?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Anything else about this fifth category that
13		we've just gone over beyond what you've
14		testified to and is in your Interrogatory
15		responses?
16	А	No.
17	Q	Okay. With respect to the next Interrogatory
18		which is on the next page of
19	А	This is my letter.
20	Q	I'm sorry.
21	А	So this one?
22	Q	As your counsel pointed out, number 17 which is
23		page 26. And the Interrogatory asks you to set

1		forth the full factual basis for your contention
2		that Defendants discriminated and retaliated
3		against you based on your alleged disability.
4		And after let me know when you've had a
5		chance to review the whole response.
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. So I just want to walk through your
8		response on this topic now that you've had a
9		chance to review your response to Interrogatory
10		number 17 in Exhibit 2. So can you tell me whom
11		you believe had made complaints about you,
12		quote, "not pulling my weight," end quote, and
13		"to handling less complex types of work," end
14		quote?
15	A	Dr. Seifer, Dr. Hsu, Dr. Regan Theiler.
16	Q	Regan Theiler?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Who was that?
19	А	She was head of the generalists' division.
20	Q	Do you know whether she had anything to do with
21		the closure of the REI Division?
22	А	I do not know that she had any, no.
23	Q	Okay. And you believe that the concerns or

1		complaints about you were from Dr. Seifer and
2		Hsu?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And how did you learn about these concerns or
5		complaints? Who told you?
6	A	Dr. Hsu and Dr. Seifer. Largely Dr. Seifer.
7		And I can't remember who said there was
8		expressed concern about my not being in the
9		backup GYN call.
10	Q	Do you know who made that concern known to you?
11	A	It was said that it was from the general OBGYN
12		group.
13	Q	Okay. Second paragraph states, quote, "On the
14		day the announcement was made of the closure of
15		the REI division in the meeting with a member of
16		the staff of Employee Relations and Dr. Ed
17		Merrens, Dr. Merrens counseled me not once but
18		three separate times to stay out on disability,"
19		end quote. What was the nature of that
20		conversation that you had with Dr. Merrens?
21	A	He was present in the room at the time that the
22		Employee Relations individual was sitting across
23		the table from me. Dr. Merrens was sitting to

1		my right, and it wasn't per se a conversation.
2		It was me in a flood of tears sobbing as the
3		Employee Relations person handed me my
4		separation or my package. And I cried, and Dr.
5		Merrens said it's okay, you can stay out on our
6		disability. I'm sorry. I realize that this was
7		a I can't remember exactly how he phrased
8		it flagship program or something like that,
9		and then he said you can stay out on our
10		disability three times.
11	Q	Did you make any response to him on that topic?
12		Specifically?
13	A	No. I was completely in a point of sadness and
14		disbelief.
15	Q	How would you describe your relationship with
16		Dr. Merrens over the years?
17	A	Up and down.
18	Q	Up and down? In what way?
19	A	Sometimes positive and sometimes negative.
20	Q	How was your relationship with Dr. Merrens
21		negative?
22	A	He seemed less than pleased when I engaged
23		Geoffrey around my mediation.
	I	

1	Q	When you say you engaged Geoffrey, Mr. Vitt?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And the mediation you're referring to is back in
4		2013, thereabouts?
5	A	Somewhere in there, yes.
6	Q	Was that relating to conflicts that you were
7		having with Dr. Reindollar?
8	A	It was mediation with Dr. Reindollar around
9		conflicts with Dr. Reindollar.
10	Q	Okay. And what do you think, in what way was
11		Dr. Merrens negative with respect to that issue?
12	A	He said this is not what we're trying to do
13		here, and I said I'm trying to understand the
14		process of mediation, this is new to me, and the
15		tenor of his involvement, I perceived, had
16		changed.
17	Q	In what way?
18	A	He was far more distant than he'd been and more
19		brusque in my communications with him.
20	Q	Okay. Other than that one issue or event, any
21		other instances where he was negative with you?
22	A	Not that I recall right now.
23	Q	And what about ways in which he was positive and

	you had a positive experience with Dr. Merrens?
A	When I formed the relationship to teach UVM
	fellows and he learned about it, he sent me a
	very or a copy or a very positive email that he
	had copied, I believe, to Jim Weinstein as well
	saying this is an example of, you know,
	collaborative or excellent work within the
	region between the two organizations.
Q	Had you had other interactions with him over the
	years?
А	Not that I recall directly now.
Q	Did you ever seek his counsel or support or
	advice regarding your potential move to Hawaii?
A	That was around the mediation time.
Q	It was.
A	Second mediation.
Q	How many mediations were there?
A	Two.
Q	When was the first one?
A	I don't recall the exact year.
Q	Second one was in 2013-ish?
А	I believe. And I didn't, just to correct you, I
	didn't seek him out.
	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q

1	Q	Well, I'm asking you whether you did.
2	A	I'm saying no.
3	Q	Okay. Well, did you discuss with him or ask for
4		his advice or counsel regarding the potential
5		move to Hawaii?
6	А	He called me up and asked me if I would meet
7		with him, owing to your definition of reaching
8		out or seeking.
9	Q	Okay. And when he reached out to you, did you
10		have a discussion?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	As a result?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	What was the nature of that discussion?
15	А	It was positive.
16	Q	Okay. In what way?
17	A	He seemed to understand the issues and agreed to
18		mediate between Richard and I initially.
19	Q	Okay. Was he supportive of you staying,
20		remaining at Dartmouth-Hitchcock as opposed to
21		moving to Hawaii?
22	A	At first. And then it was pretty clear that he
23		wasn't going to step in to make anything

1		different for me.
2	Q	In what way?
3	A	He said to me we're not, I've spoken with Jim
4		Weinstein and we're not going to support any of
5		your requests.
6	Q	What was the nature of those requests?
7	А	More support for clinical staff, both in
8		physician and nursing, better salary, a request
9		to have GYN ultrasound under the umbrella of
10		OBGYN and not radiology are the ones that come
11		to mind right now.
12	Q	Had you received an offer to move to Hawaii?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And did you use that offer as a negotiating chip
15		with Dartmouth-Hitchcock?
16	А	I made them aware of it, yes.
17	Q	Okay. And a number of the things you were
18		asking for had monetary component to them,
19		correct?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	In your Complaint you referred to Dr. DeMars as
22		a vocal supporter of yours, correct?
23	А	Yes.

1	Q	And how was Dr. DeMars a vocal supporter of
2	<b>×</b>	yours?
3	A	She told me that she had sent an email to
4		Administration to have a preliminary meeting to
5		discuss the possibility of pausing the REI
6		Division. And when she got to the room, instead
7		of being Ed and maybe one other Administrator,
8		it was a room full of people including Marketing
9		and Risk Management or legal counsel of some
10		sort, and that in her view it looked like the
11		train was already on the track, and that she was
12		very adamant with Ed that I was important to the
13		department and I was responsible for her second
14		child.
15		And she told me that she didn't know until
16		the week before I was going that I was actually
17		on the list to be terminated; that she
18		understood we would be pausing, and I would be
19		still part of the department.
20	Q	Any other statements relating to that
21		discussion?
22	A	Not that I recall right now.
23	Q	How do you believe, is that the basis for your

1		belief that Dr. DeMars supported the idea of
2		maintaining or reopening the REI Division as you
3		alleged in your Complaint?
4	A	Actually for months she'd been telling me that
5		in meetings that I had with her.
6	Q	Meetings after the closure of the REI Division?
7	А	Before. Before.
8	Q	In your Complaint you said she supported the
9		idea of maintaining or reopening the REI
10		Division. Was it also assumed there would be a
11		pause during those discussions?
12	А	Yes. With me, yes.
13	Q	What was the basis for the pause?
14	А	Reorganization. She told me that Maria Padin
15		had agreed that David was not going to be a fit
16		for the organization, and he would be leaving.
17		Short time later, maybe a month, six weeks, two
18		months later she told me Albert would be going
19		as well and that Dan Grow would be interviewed
20		behind the scenes, and that when patient volume
21		allowed, Judy McBean would be coming up, and
22		that I should separate myself from the turmoil,
23		keep my head down, and get well.

1	Q	Would it be fair to say that you had a close
2		friendship with Dr. DeMars?
3	A	At one point, yes.
4	Q	And when did that end?
5	А	At the end of the REI Division. My last day.
6	Q	Was that on or about the start of June 2017?
7	A	Yes. Maybe even before that.
8	Q	Other than the closure of the REI Division, was
9		there anything that precipitated the end of the
10		close friendship that you had with Dr. DeMars?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Do you have any facts as you sit here today that
13		you believe demonstrate that Dr. DeMars is
14		connected in any way to your retaliation claim?
15	A	I'm sorry. I don't understand that question.
16	Q	Go ahead.
17	A	No, I understand what you said. Can you
18		rephrase your question, please?
19	Q	You made a retaliation claim in this case,
20		correct?
21	A	Right.
22	Q	And you're claiming that you made a series of
23		complaints and concerns known to the hospital,

1		correct?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And that as a result of making those claims and
4		concerns known to the hospital that you were
5		retaliated against by the hospital.
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	You understand that. You have retaliation
8		claims in this case.
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. What I want to understand is how if at
11		all Dr. DeMars is connected in any way to your
12		claims of retaliation?
13	А	There is a long list of individuals, patients
14		and providers, who had sought out Dr. Merrens on
15		behalf of the program and on my behalf to ask
16		him to reconsider my employment.
17	Q	And she was one of them.
18	А	She told me she was one of them. Her emails
19		dated around the time of the closure indicate
20		something different.
21	Q	And those are emails that you've seen in the
22		course of discovery in this litigation, correct?
23	A	Correct.

1	Q	Prior to that though, prior to seeing those
2		emails, and you believe those emails have what
3		connection to your claims of retaliation?
4	A	She was clearly presenting two sides, two
5		different opinions to each side, and clearly in
6		the content of those emails stating that there
7		are reasons not to keep me.
8	Q	And what do you believe the reasons were that
9		she was expressing?
10	А	I'd have to let's get the email out.
11	Q	I want to ask you.
12	А	Well, I need to see the detail of the email.
13	Q	No. I'm going to ask you first, what are the
14		actual reasons that you believe she put forth as
15		to why the division should be closed and you
16		should lose your employment.
17		MR. VITT: When you say I'm sorry. I
18		object. The action, meaning what did she
19		MR. SCHROEDER: Her reasons. Right.
20		MR. VITT: What did she say.
21		MR. SCHROEDER: Well, what her reasons
22		were
23		MR. VITT: One question is what did she

1 And the second question is what did she 2 actually believe. And I object because I don't 3 think the question is clear. 4 MR. SCHROEDER: I didn't say what she 5 believed. I never said the word believe. Ιf 6 you want to go back --7 MR. VITT: Don, I understand what you said. 8 I mean, I heard the question, and the reason I'm 9 objecting is I don't know whether the question asked Dr. Porter what did Dr. DeMars say in the 10 11 emails or are you asking her what do you think 12 she actually believed when she wrote it. 13 BY MR. SCHROEDER: 14 0 All right. I don't want to get into what Dr. 15 DeMars did or didn't believe because you can't get into her -- that would be asking you to 16 17 speculate. So what I'm asking you is what do you understand the reasons she set forth as to 18 the basis for terminating your employment? 19 20 That I had team-splitting behavior, and that the Α institution would have had to fire David and 21 Albert for cause is what I remember about that 22 23 email at the present time.

1	Q	That's an email that you saw in the context of
2		this case, correct?
3	A	Yes. The email that you refuse to release.
4	Q	Actually, since you've seen the email, it's
5		actually an email that was produced pursuant to
6		the court order.
7	А	Pursuant to the court's order, yes. Judge
8		Crawford asked you to release it.
9	Q	Right. I'm well aware of what Judge Crawford
10		asked us to do.
11		With respect to my question though about
12		her connection to your claim of retaliation,
13		what are the facts that you're aware of that Dr.
14		DeMars retaliated against you?
15	A	She had the opportunity to at least state in
16		favor of keeping me when there was a strong tide
17		of individuals who wanted to keep me and for
18		whom it was necessary for the residency, for the
19		training of medical students, for the
20		performance of complex gynecologic ultrasound,
21		for the performance of complex GYN surgery, et
22		cetera, and she had the option of voting in
23		favor of that and did not, and I do believe that

1		she understood the consequences of not keeping
2		me.
3	Q	You mean the consequences that you just
4		testified to? The ability to reporting
5		relationships with SART and UVM, having
6		affiliations with UVM?
7	A	Yes, and all the other things I just stated.
8	Q	Anything else regarding Dr. DeMars that you
9		believe would demonstrate that she retaliated
10		against you?
11	A	She stopped listening to my concerns.
12	Q	When?
13	A	Right before the closure.
14	Q	You mean after the announcement in May before
15		the actual closure?
16	А	Before the actual closure. Well, actually
17		before the announcement.
18	Q	Anything else?
19	А	Not that I recall at this time.
20	Q	Okay. What, if any, facts are you aware of that
21		Ms. Gunnell had anything to do with the closure
22		of the REI Division?
23	A	She had conversations with me about the

1		potential foreclosure.
2	Q	When was that?
3	A	Beginning in the fall of 2016?
4	Q	Are you aware of any facts that she actually did
5		have any involvement in the actual decision to
6		close the REI Division?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	What are you aware of?
9	A	There are, there's an email from Ed Merrens that
10		says that she is quote, "the unsung hero,"
11		unquote, of this closure.
12	Q	Do you know whether or not she had any voting
13		authority with respect to the REI Division
14		closure?
15	A	I know she was involved in conversations with
16		Dan Herrick. I don't know if she had, quote,
17		"voting," unquote, decision making.
18	Q	With respect to the REI Division closure.
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	What, if any, facts are you aware of that Ms.
21		Gunnell in any way retaliated against you for
22		raising concerns and complaints to her
23		attention?

1	A	She was at the Value Institute meetings
2		reasonably hostile to the group.
3	Q	To the whole group?
4	A	To the group as a whole. And when I brought
5		concerns to her on ultimate occasions about
6		David and Albert, my impression was that she was
7		irritated.
8	Q	What's the basis for your impression that she
9		was irritated?
10	A	Big sighs, little comments, turning her back and
11		walking away. Leslie also told me that she was
12		upset, Heather was upset about my supporting my
13		secretary in her negotiations with Human
14		Resources and Kelly Mousley.
15	Q	What about her?
16	А	What about her?
17	Q	You just said "and Kelly Mousley."
18	А	It was Human Resources, Kelly Mousley and my
19		secretary.
20	Q	Okay. And what was the nature of that issue?
21	A	The way I understand it from Donna Bedard, my
22		secretary, Heather and Kelly were making changes
23		to office organization that would result in the

1		restructuring of the process of patient care and
2		that not all of the elements had been addressed
3		that were critical. And she raised the issue.
4	Q	Who is she?
5	A	Donna.
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	And it was seen as, in her view, antagonistic
8		rather than information for them to make changes
9		that would meet all of the needs, and their
10		evaluation of this extremely long-term employee
11		was resulting in a verbal warning and a threat
12		for a written warning about her responses to the
13		change.
14	Q	Were you involved in this whole episode?
15	А	From the perspective of Donna asked my opinion.
16	Q	Okay. Any other facts that you believe support
17		in any way that Ms. Gunnell go ahead.
18	A	I went to Heather to tell her that there was
19		some concerns and I was concerned about Kelly's
20		approach to Donna and the fact that there were
21		potentially unsubstantiated claims against Donna
22		and that I was supporting Donna and encouraging
23		her to move forward with her negotiations with

1		Human Resources.
2	Q	What happened as a result?
3	A	Donna sat with Human Resources and negotiated
4		with Kelly. I don't know the outcome of that.
5		I do know Donna is now, where she was only 18
6		months or so, two years from retirement, and at
7		risk for getting a written warning after nothing
8		but positive evaluations for many years, has
9		moved to vascular surgery and has gotten two
10		promotions and two raises in a different working
11		environment.
12	Q	Did that happen as a result of those
13		negotiations?
14	A	No. It was separate. She sought out that job.
15	Q	Okay. I'm assuming HR had to support that move
16		at some point, right?
17	A	I would assume so. Yes.
18	Q	Anything else that you believe supports your
19		belief that Ms. Gunnell had anything to do,
20		engaged in any form of retaliatory behavior
21		towards you?
22	A	Yes. I brought up the concern about the doors
23		being locked to the clinic in a relatively

1		isolated area of the clinic and Kelly Mousley
2		having shut the phones off at that desk where
3		nurses were needing to recover patients from
4		general anesthesia.
5	Q	Okay. What happened as a result?
6	А	I went to Leslie with it, and she just waved her
7		hand at me and walked away, and I had brought up
8		the concerns based on national standards for the
9		American Academy of Anesthesiology that there
10		needs to be appropriate staffing for recovery in
11		an outpatient setting for anesthesia and
12		appropriate individuals, and Heather had
13		suggested that we get someone from The Pink
14		Smock downstairs which is a volunteer layperson
15		to come sit at the desk.
16	Q	Okay. How is that connected in any way to your
17		claim of retaliation?
18	A	Again, they saw that, when I spoke with Leslie
19		it was seen as another series of complaints
20		without any move forward to make changes.
21	Q	When was that?
22	А	Right before the announcement of the closure.
23	Q	What's the basis for your belief that Dr. DeMars
	1	

1		stepped down as OBGYN Chair as a result of some
2		connection to the REI Division closure?
3	A	A conversation I had with Elizabeth Todd after
4		she spoke with Leslie once it was announced that
5		Leslie was stepping down.
6	Q	Okay. What did Beth Todd tell you?
7	A	That Leslie, Leslie said she was able to
8		negotiate some things, but that at least in part
9		some of the heated conversation with Dr. Merrens
10		was around the restructuring of REI.
11	Q	You mentioned before that there was discussion
12		that you had with Leslie DeMars in the spring of
13		2017 about a pause with the REI Division?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	What was your understanding of what that pause
16		would constitute?
17	А	A brief pause of IVF procedures, but that we
18		would continue to do new patient evaluations and
19		offer non-IVF-related treatment and that DH was
20		offering to not charge patients who had frozen
21		embryos at the organization for a year of
22		storage fees because those would be the patients
23		in the cycles that we would most readily open

1		back up with.
2	Q	Was it your understanding that the pause of IVF
3		would be for a year as a result of offering a
4		year of
5	A	No.
6	Q	How long did you understand the pause would last
7		for?
8	A	Months.
9	Q	Did you ever see anything in writing about how
10		long that pause would last for?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Did you understand why the REI division needed
13		to do a pause?
14	A	My understanding was based on my conversations
15		with her.
16	Q	Right. What was your understanding of why a
17		pause was necessary with respect to IVF at that
18		point?
19	A	I was answering you.
20	Q	Why? Yes. Go ahead.
21	A	My understanding was that we would pause, allow
22		Dan Grow to come in, the transition of David and
23		Albert out, Judy to come in as patient volume

1		allowed and we would retrain nurses.
2	Q	Did you have an understanding of how long that
3		would take to do those four things?
4	A	Months. We had a conversation about months.
5	Q	Did you ever have any discussion directly with
6		Leslie DeMars about her stepping down as chair
7		of OBGYN?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Did you have any communication with Leslie
10		DeMars after the closure of the REI Division?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	When?
13	A	I had a discussion do you mean the day that
14		it was announced or the actual closure?
15	Q	No. After the actual closure on June 3rd.
16	A	Yes. I had left her a message on her phone
17		requesting a conversation with her. She called
18		back, left a message on my answering machine
19		saying yes, of course, she'd speak with me. And
20		then I called her once or twice after that and
21		left a message on her cell phone saying please
22		reach out to me. And then I spoke with her on
23		Sunday.

1	Q	What Sunday?		
2	A	This recent Sunday.		
3	Q	What did you speak to her about?		
4	А	Our children.		
5	Q	Anything else?		
6	А	No. No. That's all related to our children.		
7	Q	Did you have any discussion with her about this		
8		case?		
9	A	No.		
10	Q	How did it come to pass that you actually spoke		
11		this past Sunday?		
12	A	Joan Barthold's retirement party.		
13	Q	So you saw each other in person?		
14	A	Yes.		
15	Q	Did you have a discussion about anything else		
16		relating to your case?		
17	A	Not real relating to the case, no. We talked		
18		about our children. She showed me pictures of		
19		her son and talked to me about him. Both of her		
20		sons actually, but her one son who was an IVF		
21		baby.		
22	Q	Do you have any reason to doubt Leslie DeMars'		
23		honesty or trustworthiness?		

1	A	Yes. Based on that email.		
2	Q	Other than that email, anything else?		
3	A	I think that email speaks large volumes.		
4	Q	Anything else?		
5	A	No.		
6	Q	Do you remember who that email was to? Was it		
7		to Ed Merrens?		
8	A	I think it was Ed Merrens and Dan Herrick and		
9		I'm not sure who else. I think it was the two		
10		of them, but I don't recall.		
11	Q	And that was in and around the time frame after		
12		the closure had been announced?		
13	A	Yes.		
14	Q	Okay. Just got a few minutes left.		
15		Let me ask you about your current		
16		employment arrangement. Well, let me ask you		
17		this. If you had remained, one of the		
18		alternatives in that May 2017 letter you wrote		
19		to Aimee Giglio was an alternative position if		
20		you remained at Dartmouth-Hitchcock, correct?		
21	A	Correct.		
22	Q	And did you understand that if you remained at		
23		Dartmouth-Hitchcock, that that would be in a		

1		reduced role?	
2	A	No.	
3	Q	You thought it would be in the same role that	
4		you had before?	
5	A	How would you define a reduction?	
6	Q	Well, would you be earning the same salary?	
7	А	A Yes.	
8	Q	Q You believe you would be?	
9	А	Yes.	
10	Q	And despite the fact that the REI Division would	
11		not be operating, correct?	
12	А	Despite the fact that there was conversations	
13		with Dr. Conroy and Dr. Brumstead about	
14		potentially having a joint program, and I'd had	
15		that conversation with Leslie prior to the	
16		closure because she said there was going to be	
17		lots of opportunity to be working with you	
18		again. So I would have had that conversation,	
19		submitted a letter, she and I had conversations	
20		about a joint venture with UVM, and then Barry	
21		Smith raised it after I sent that letter in	
22		with, on his own with Administration.	
23		(Exhibit 14 marked for identification)	

1	Q	This is Exhibit 14. You can have it. I'm			
2		showing you a document which is your response to			
3		Mary Hitchcock Memorial Hospital's First Set of			
4		Interrogatories, and I want to turn your			
5	attention to page 3, at the bottom of page 3,				
6	okay? And I want to just understand UVM,				
7	University of Vermont Medical Center, you				
8	started working there June 4th, 2017?				
9	А	Correct.			
10	Q	And was that in a full-time capacity or per			
11		diem?			
12	А	Per diem.			
13	Q	Okay. And are you currently employed by			
14		University of Vermont Medical Center?			
15	A	Yes.			
16	Q	And other than an increase in your per diem rate			
17		from 150 to 175, has anything changed in your			
18		employment status with UVM?			
19	А	Yes.			
20	Q	Okay. Tell me about that.			
21	А	In May of 2018 I became employed faculty with an			
22		appointment to the Medical School and a clinical			
23		appointment to the University of Vermont Medical			

```
1
           Center.
 2
           Okay. Did you receive anything in writing as a
     0
 3
           result of that?
 4
     Α
           Yes.
 5
           Okay. We haven't seen anything in that regard.
     Q
 6
           I'm not aware of any documents related to her
 7
           current employment.
 8
                With respect to your current employment,
 9
           well, that was as of May 2018?
10
     Α
           Correct.
11
     0
           Did you receive a salary in that capacity?
12
     Α
           I began receiving salary in May of 2018.
13
     0
           What was it?
14
     Α
           It's broken down based on partial employment to
15
           the Medical School, a variable, and employment
           by UVM Medical Center, and it's approximately
16
17
           $240,000 a year with benefits.
           Do you know why your expert designated in this
18
     0
19
           case said that one of his assumptions is that
20
           you'd receive a salary of 260,000?
21
           Oh, I had, I got a $20,000 raise but that, part
     Α
22
           of that is variable so it's not quaranteed.
23
           When did you receive that?
     0
```

1	A	My first review which would have been end of the	
2		first summer? But we didn't get our variable	
3		this first part of this year based on	
4		performance of UVM.	
5	Q	So what is your current salary?	
6	A	I'd have to look that up.	
7	Q	Do you have any idea?	
8	А	A It's approximately that, 260.	
9	Q And do you receive any additional compensation		
10		in the form of grants or other pieces of	
11		compensation?	
12	А	I do not have any grants currently. I have	
13		received a total of \$3000 to be an expert	
14		witness on a gynecologic case. And I have not	
15		yet been paid but am an expert for ultrasound	
16		interpretation for a tubal, a new tubal	
17		occlusion material.	
18	Q	And is that a set fee or is it?	
19	А	So much per hour. 350 an hour.	
20	Q	And how much work do you expect that to be?	
21	А	It's not known because it's a new product and	
22		it's a new process so they're not sure how many	
23		research sites they're going to have yet.	

1	Q	Is there anything in writing regarding that		
2		arrangement?		
3	A	Yes.		
4	Q	Okay. So there's a document that relates that?		
5	A	Yes.		
6	Q	And are there documents that relate to your		
7		terms of your employment with UVM, UVM Medical		
8		Group for 2019?		
9	A	Not the original from 2018 there is.		
10	Q	To the extent you have a raise, was there some		
11		document to that?		
12	A	A I haven't seen any emails. It was verbally		
13		given to me by the Chair.		
14	Q	Okay. And do you receive any other forms of		
15		compensation at this point in 2018 or 2019 other		
16		than let's just say 2019 for now.		
17	A	Not that I recall right now.		
18	Q	And in 2018, did you, and 2017, did you receive		
19		long-term disability benefits up until the		
20		summer of 2018 under Dartmouth-Hitchcock's		
21		long-term disability policy?		
22	A	I'd have to go back and look at the dates		
23		exactly, but yes, I did, while I was on per diem		

1		at UVM.
2	Q	Did your status as being on per diem impact the
3		receipt or level of LTD benefits?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	When you started receiving a full-time salary in
6		May 2018, approximately 240,000, is that the
7		point at which your long-term disability
8		benefits stopped?
9	A	I'd have to go back and look at the specific
10		date.
11	Q	But it was some time in I think you said July or
12		August of 2018 when your long-term disability
13		finally ended?
14	A	I believe so.
15	Q	In your expert's report there was an assumption
16		that June 2021 or thereafter that you would quit
17		your employment with UVM, and I'm wondering what
18		the basis for that assumption is if you know.
19	A	It's not tenable to live away from my husband or
20		my children.
21	Q	Where do you currently live?
22	A	We currently have a house in Norwich, Vermont.
23		We own a condominium in Burlington.

1	Q	How long have you owned the condo in Burlington?		
2	A	Just over a year.		
3	Q	What's the distance between Norwich, Vermont,		
4		and Burlington, Vermont?		
5	A	It's about 85 to 90 miles.		
6	Q	And are you working every single day at UVM?		
7	А	I'm working four days a week in the week that		
8		I'm not on call, and I work 12 to 13 days in a		
9	row on the week that I'm on call.			
10	Q How old are your children?			
11	А	A 26, 22, and 21.		
12	Q	Do any of them reside at home?		
13	А	I have a college student, and he's home during		
14		the course of the summer months. My others are		
15		home over holidays and vacations.		
16	Q	Where do they live otherwise? The two oldest?		
17	А	My daughter lives in Boulder, Colorado, and my		
18		middle child currently lives in Burlington.		
19	Q	Where is your youngest children at school?		
20	А	Colorado School of Mines.		
21	Q	Prior to a year ago, did you have any more than		
22		one residence at any point?		
23	A	Summers.		

```
1
           Summer residence?
     0
 2
           I'm sorry? Are you --
     Α
 3
           I'm just asking whether you own more than one
     0
 4
           property at the same time?
 5
           Oh, yes. We own a lake property.
     Α
 6
           Where is that?
     Q
 7
     Α
           Fairlee, Vermont. It's about a 20-minute drive
 8
           north of Norwich.
 9
           And with respect to your employment by UVM, you
     Q
           receive, you're considered a full-time employee,
10
11
           correct?
12
     Α
           Yes. .75.
13
     Q
           So not completely full-time.
           It's considered full-time.
14
     Α
15
           Okay. But does that assume, I mean, 1.00 would
     Q
           be full-time, am I correct?
16
17
     А
           Correct.
           Okay. What does .75 contemplate?
18
     0
19
           The schedule I gave you.
     Α
20
           Flex time?
     0
21
     Α
                It's, they're at a day off.
22
           What's that?
     0
23
     Α
           It's a .25 less pay for a day a week. It's
```

```
1
           supposed to equal out to about a day a week.
 2
           Did you seek that out?
     0
 3
     Α
           No, it was what they could offer me.
 4
     Q
           Okay. So is the $260,000 salary that you
 5
           receive, is that the full salary you receive?
 6
     Α
           Yes.
 7
           Okay. I have nothing further. Thank you.
     Q
 8
     Α
           Okay. Thank you.
 9
                     (Deposition ended at 1:57 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

I have car	efully read the foregoing		
deposition, and the answers	made by me are true.		
	MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER, M.D.		
	men semionerie roman, me		
CHARE OF			
STATE OF			
	SS.		
At	on the		
day of	A.D.		
2019, personally appeared t	he above-named MISTY		
BLANCHETTE PORTER, M.D., and made oath that the			
foregoing answers subscribed by her are true.			
	Before me,		
	Notary Public		
	NOCALY PUBLIC		

## CERTIFICATE

I, Cynthia Foster, Registered Professional
Reporter and Licensed Court Reporter, duly authorized
to practice Shorthand Court Reporting in the State of
New Hampshire, hereby certify that the foregoing
pages, numbered 5 through 107, are a true and
accurate transcription of my stenographic notes of
the deposition of MISTY BLANCHETTE PORTER, M.D., who
was first duly sworn by me on July 18, 2019, for use
in the matter indicated on the title sheet, as to
which a transcript was duly ordered;

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this transcript was produced, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

Dated at North Sutton, New Hampshire, this 24th day of July, 2019.

Cynthia Foster, LCR